1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

17

16

18

20 21

19

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NHU NGOC NGUYEN.

Plaintiff, VS.

MICHAEL S. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security Administration,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 10cv2349-LAB (BGS)

ORDER RE: HEARING ON ATTORNEY'S FEES; AND

ORDER RE: EX PARTE PPLICATION TO FILE MOTION O STRIKE

Currently on calendar for Monday, June 27, 2011 at 11:15 a.m. is a hearing on Plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7.1(d)(1), the Court finds this motion suitable for decision without oral argument. Accordingly, the hearing on this matter is taken off calendar and this matter is taken under submission. No appearances will be required in this matter on Monday, June 27, 2011.

Defendant has filed an exparte motion for leave to file a motion to strike a declaration to Plaintiff's reply brief, primarily on the basis that it is inadmissible. Defendant in the alternative raises merits-based issues. To the extent the application raises evidentiary issues, the Court construes it as an objection to the declaration and will consider it for that purpose. To the extent the application seeks to argue the merits, it is essentially a sur-reply. Arguments not raised in the opening brief are ordinarily waived, so in most cases a surreply

> - 1 -10cv2349

serves little purpose. *Mesa Grande Band of Mission Indians v. Salazar*, 657 F. Supp. 2d 1169, 1173 (S.D.Cal., Sept. 25, 2009) (citing *United States v. Romm*, 455 F.3d 990, 997 (9th Cir. 2006)). To the extent Plaintiff raises any new arguments Defendant has not yet had the opportunity to reply to, no sur-reply is required.

The *ex parte* motion (docket no. 12) is therefore construed as objections to the affidavit submitted in support of Plaintiff's reply. The Clerk is directed to terminate its status as a motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 22, 2011

HONORABLE LARRY ALAN BURNS United States District Judge

any A. Burn

- 2 - 10cv2349